## Notes on a paper of Ioannidis

John D. Cook cook@mdanderson.org

## February 26, 2010

## Abstract

This note makes explicit a few calculations that are implicit in the essay Why Most Published Research Findings Are False by John P. A. Ioannidis<sup>1</sup>.

Ioannidis develops three equations for positive predictive value (PPV) of a finding, the probability that the result is correct, in his essay *Why Most Published Research Findings Are False*. Suppose researchers select their hypotheses for investigation from a pool with a ratio of true hypotheses to false hypotheses equal to R. These hypotheses are tested in experiments with a type I error rate  $\alpha$  and a type II error rate  $\beta$ . In the simplest case, one investigator testing one hypothesis with no bias, the PPV is given by

$$\frac{(1-\beta)R}{R-\beta R+\alpha}.$$
(1)

If there is bias, some proportion u of results that would otherwise have been found negative are published as positive results. In that case,

$$\frac{(1-\beta)R + u\beta R}{R - \beta R + \alpha + u(1-\alpha + \beta R)}.$$
(2)

If there is no bias, but a hypothesis is investigated by n independent researchers, the PPV becomes

$$\frac{(1-\beta^n)R}{R+1-(1-\alpha)^n-\beta^n R}.$$
(3)

We only consider equations (2) and (3) since equation (1) is a special case of equation (3) with n = 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Ioannidis JPA (2005) Why Most Published Research Findings Are False. PLoS Med 2(8): e124. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0020124

Ioannidis examines factors that lower PPV, except possibly in the strange case that  $1 - \beta < \alpha$ . (If  $1 - \beta \ge \alpha$ , either the type I or type II error rate is enormous.) He concludes that PPV decreases as a function of  $u, \beta$ , and n. We establish these claims below. We will find the following lemma useful.

Lemma 1. Define

$$f(x) = \frac{a+bx}{c+dx}.$$
(4)

Then f(x) is a decreasing function if ad > bc.

*Proof.* The derivative

$$f'(x) = \frac{bc - ad}{(c + dx)^2}$$

is negative when its denominator is negative.

**Claim 1.** *PPV decreases as a function of u provided*  $1 - \beta > \alpha$ *.* 

Proof. The PPV

$$\frac{(1-\beta)R + u\beta R}{R - \beta R + \alpha + u(1-\alpha + \beta R)}$$

can be put in the form f(u) where f is defined in equation (4) if

$$a = (1 - \beta)R$$
  

$$b = \beta R$$
  

$$c = R - \beta R + \alpha$$
  

$$d = (1 - \alpha + \beta R).$$

The function f(u) is decreasing if ad > bc. Substitute the definitions of a, b, c, and d and you'll find that ad > bc if and only if  $1 - \beta > \alpha$ .

Claim 2. PPV decreases as a function of  $\beta$ .

*Proof.* First consider the case of single testing (n = 1) with bias. Then the PPV

$$\frac{(1-\beta)R + u\beta R}{R - \beta R + \alpha + u(1-\alpha + \beta R)}$$

can be put in the form  $f(\beta)$  as in equation (4) if

$$a = R$$
  

$$b = (u-1)R$$
  

$$c = R + \alpha + u(1-\alpha)$$
  

$$d = (u-1)R.$$

The condition ad > bc holds for all values of the parameters.

Next consider the case of multiple testing without bias. Then the PPV

$$\frac{(1-\beta^n)R}{R+1-(1-\alpha)^n-\beta^n R}$$

can be written in the form  $f(\gamma)$  where

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= \beta^n \\ a &= R \\ b &= -R \\ c &= R+1-(1-\alpha)^n \\ d &= -R. \end{aligned}$$

The condition ad > bc always holds, and so f is a decreasing function of  $\gamma$ . Since  $\beta^n$  is an increasing function of  $\beta$ , it follows  $f(\beta^n)$  is a decreasing function of  $\beta$ .

Note that we did not need to assume  $1 - \beta > \alpha$ .

**Claim 3.** *PPV decreases as a function of* n *for* n > 0 *provided*  $1 - \beta > \alpha$ *.* 

*Proof.* We will prove that the expression for PPV is a decreasing function n as a continuous variable.

Define

$$g(n) = \frac{1 - \beta^n}{R + 1 - (1 - \alpha)^n - \beta^n R}$$

The function g(n) is PPV/R. Since R is a positive constant, it is sufficient to prove g(n) is decreasing.

$$g'(n) = \frac{(1-\beta^n)(1-\alpha)^n \log(1-\alpha) - (1-(1-\alpha)^n)\beta^n \log\beta}{(R+1-(1-\alpha)^n - \beta^n R)^2}$$

The denominator is positive and so we need only show that the numerator, call it h(n), is negative. Let  $x = (1 - \alpha)^n$  and  $y = \beta^n$ . Since we assume  $1 - \beta > \alpha$ , we have x > y.

Then

$$h(n) = \frac{(1-y)x\log x - (1-x)y\log y}{n}$$

To show that h(n) is negative, it is enough to show that

$$\frac{x\log x}{1-x} < \frac{y\log y}{1-y}$$

for x > y, or equivalently, that  $\varphi(x) = (x \log x)/(1-x)$  is decreasing for 0 < x < 1.

To show  $\varphi(x)$  is decreasing, we show its derivative

$$\varphi'(x) = \frac{\log(x) - x + 1}{(1 - x)^2}$$

is negative. The the numerator is negative on (0, 1) because  $\log(x) - x + 1$  is an increasing function equal to zero at x = 1. The denominator is positive and so  $\phi'(x)$  is negative for 0 < x < 1.